

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/930,422	08/15/2001	Peter Ar-Fu Lam	BPCODE2	1550	
7590 02/04/2005 Peter Ar-Fu Lam			EXAMINER		
			FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A		
20104 Wayne Torrance, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						- 1		
<b>-</b> .		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		$\overline{\ }$		
Office Action Summary		09/930,4	22	LAM, PETER AR-F	÷U	/V		
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		, ,	. Fischetti	3627		1		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor into the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, if reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no eration. 1ys, a reply within the starty period will apply and we by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply atutory minimum of thirty (3 will expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABANI	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this con  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 18 November :	2004					
_	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
.—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-34 and 36-47 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 42-47 is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-34,36-40 are subject to restrict	rithdrawn from co	nsideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Ex			Ale a Francisco				
ا_ا(10	) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				D 1 101/A	`		
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by					<i>)</i> .		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have becoments have become priority documents Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Appl ents have been red le 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National S	Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the cert	tified copies not red	ceived.				
Attachmen	, ,		_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	048)	4) Interview Sum					
3) 🔲 Infon	æ of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-t mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date			lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/930,422

Art Unit: 3627

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 42,43, 44,45,46 47 are directed to an invention that is

independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

claim 42 is not identical to claims 3 and 40, 43 is not identical to claims 6 and 40, claim

44 is not identical to claims 19 and 40, 45 is not identical to claims 24 and 40, 46 is not

identical to claims 28 and 40, 47 is not identical to claims 32 and 40, as applicant

contends. As such, each of these new independent claims presents a combination

different from that created by the alleged corresponding dependent claim taken in

combination with claim 40. Since applicant has constructively elected by the last

amendment to proceed with the invention defined by claim 40, claims 42-47 are

withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR

1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

The amendment to the claims now leaves the single invention of claim 40 defined

by plural species as follows:

**DETAILED ACTION** 

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention:

species of claims 1 and 2 draw to a species defining n available garment sizes;

species of claims 3-5 draw to a species defining relative relationship to produce

a BP code;

species of claims 6-18 draw to a species of a BP code using primary compressed n1 digits;

species of claims 19,20 draw to a species using non-linear quantized values for n parameters;

species of claims 21-23 draw to a species using a BP code at a memory location; species of claims 24-27,41 draw to a species of enabling a second person to obtain the BP code;

species of claims 28-31draw to a species setting up a facility in a store for measuring;

species of claims 32-33,34,37,38,39 draw to a species providing a garment matching computer.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 40 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 09/930,422

Art Unit: 3627

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the

elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to PRIMARY

EXAMINER Joseph A. Fischetti at telephone number (703) 305-0731.

an a Jos

Page 4